

Minutes: June 1, 2015 RPBCWD Citizens Advisory Committee Regular Meeting

Claire Blesser	P	Jim Boettcher	E	Sharon McCotter	P
Laurie Susla	E	Bob Shurson	E	Larry Koch	P
Peter Iverson	A	Matt Lindon	P	Laurie Hable	P
Paul Bulger	P	Mark Weber	A	Dorothy Pedersen	P
Bill Copping	A	Bob Adomaitis	E	Dave Raby	A
Michelle Jordan District		Josh Maxwell District			

1. The meeting was called to order at 6:44 pm

There were not enough members present for a quorum.

Motion: McCotter/Koch hold an informal informational meeting which will adjourn if a quorum is reached, passed

2. Education Toxic Algae Matt Lindon

Matt gave a presentation on different forms of toxic algae. He presented on three types of algae (Phytoplankton, Periphyton, and Benthic).

- Phytoplankton: floating algae
- Periphyton: attached algae
- Benthic: grows on lake bottom

He also discussed types within those categories and the toxins present in blue-green algae.

3. CRAS Update Josh Maxwell

Josh presented the criteria summary, preliminary results, and the next steps with the CRAS. We received a map of all the creeks and sub reaches with printouts on the results of the CRAS so far. This is to be used for all the creeks and is a living tool that can be easily updated. The ratings are separated into 2 tiers.

Tier 1 Prioritization Variables (Science based variables)

- Public/Private Infrastructure risk
- Erosion and Channel Stability
- Ecological Benefits
- Water Quality

Tier 2 Prioritization Variables

- Public Education
- Partnerships (still waiting to hear back from all possible partners)
- Watershed Benefits

Scoring: The creeks are separated into reaches and subreaches. The Tier 1 and 2 scores are based on criteria from field visits and past studies. The scale is from 1 to 7 with 1 being excellent, 3 good, 5 fair, and 7 severe. Values are monitored at 18 monitoring stations on the creeks.

Tier 1 Scores

- <12 low
- 13-17 medium
- 18-21 high
- >22 severe

Tier 2 Scores

- Public Education
- 1 private property
- 3 private/public
- 5 park/beach
- 7 trail along creek

-Watershed Benefits

- 1 <25%
- 3 25.1-50%
- 5 50.1-75%
- 7 75.1-100%

-Cost: complexity, technical considerations, high bank heights, access

- 1 low
- 7 high

-Partnerships

- 1 no partnerships
- 3 one partner
- 5 multiple partners
- 7 partners with financial assistance

$$\text{Tier 2 Score} = \frac{\text{Tier 1} + \text{Education/Outreach} + \text{Public/Watershed Benefits}}{\text{Cost}}$$

Next Steps in CRAS, continue with updates, install bank pins to calculate erosion rates.

Discussion of questions for Public Hearing

Why was Riley Creek chosen over Bluff Creek?

Can you include more creeks to the plan?

ACTION: Claire will check to see if you have to list specific locations for Capital Improvement Projects.

Discussion of Cost Share Program

- A checklist has been started
- Consider adding to the checklist the history of the property (have they had other projects)
- Clarify if you can submit more than one project

8:46 meeting adjourned.

Next Watershed Board Meeting Monday July 29, 2015 5:30 District Office
Next CAC Meeting Monday July 13, 2015 6:30 District Office
Watershed Tour: July 27, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

Laurie Hable
Recorder